

Axiom E6 is needed in Proposition 6.9 of “Game-Theoretic Foundations for Probability and Finance”

Jasper De Bock

FLip (Foundations Lab for imprecise probabilities)
Ghent University, Belgium
jasper.debock@ugent.be

Abstract. In the winter of 2020-2021, when Covid-19 turned our lives around, Glenn Shafer gave a series of online lectures on “Game-theoretic foundations for statistical testing and imprecise probabilities” at the [SIPTA](#) school on imprecise probabilities. During those lectures, he mentioned a small open problem that intrigued him: in Proposition 6.9 of his new book with Vladimir Vovk, “Game-Theoretic Foundations for Probability and Finance”, is Axiom E6^[0,∞] needed or can it be removed? It intrigued me as well. In this short note, I provide a counterexample that demonstrates it cannot be removed.

Let $\mathcal{Y} := \{H, T\}$ and let $[0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the set of all maps from \mathcal{Y} to $[0, \infty]$ —so all nonnegative extended real functions on \mathcal{Y} . Consider the operator \bar{E} on $[0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}$ defined by

$$\bar{E}(f) := \min \{ \alpha \in [0, \infty] : f(H) \leq \alpha, f(T) \leq 2\alpha \} \text{ for all } f \in [0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

This operator does not satisfy E6^[0,∞] in [1, Proposition 6.9]. For example, if we let $\mathbf{1}_T \in [0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}$ denote the indicator of T, defined by $\mathbf{1}_T(H) := 0$ and $\mathbf{1}_T(T) := 1$, then $\bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T) = 1/2$ and $\bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T + 1) = 1$, hence $\bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T + 1) \neq \bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T) + 1$. So \bar{E} fails E6^[0,∞] for $f = \mathbf{1}_T$ and $c = 1$. It therefore follows from [1, Proposition 6.9] that \bar{E} is not a $[0, \infty]$ -upper expectation on \mathcal{Y} .

On the other hand, it is fairly straightforward to show that \bar{E} satisfies Axioms E1^[0,∞] to E5^[0,∞] in [1, Proposition 6.9]. Hence, Axiom E6^[0,∞] cannot be removed from [1, Proposition 6.9]. Interestingly though, as can be seen from [1, Proposition 6.8] and the definition of an upper expectation on p.113 of [1], this is not true if the role of $[0, \infty]$ is replaced by \mathbb{R} or $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$. So the restriction to nonnegative functions seems to have a fundamental effect on the axioms that are needed to characterise (restrictions of) upper expectations.

References

1. Shafer, G., Vovk, V.: Game-Theoretic Foundations for Probability and Finance. Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey (2019)