# Continuous-time trading and emergence of volatility

Vladimir Vovk



## The Game-Theoretic Probability and Finance Project

Working Paper #25

First posted December 10, 2007. Last revised December 25, 2007.

Project web site: http://www.probabilityandfinance.com

# Abstract

This note continues investigation of randomness-type properties emerging in idealized financial markets with continuous price processes. It is shown, without making any probabilistic assumptions, that the strong variation exponent of non-constant price processes has to be 2, as in the case of continuous martingales.

# Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Null and almost sure events	1
3	Main result	<b>2</b>
4	Proof	3
5	Conclusion	6
Re	References	

## 1 Introduction

This note is part of the recent revival of interest in game-theoretic probability (see, e.g., [7, 8, 4, 2, 3]). It concentrates on the study of the " $\sqrt{dt}$  effect", the fact that a typical change in the value of a non-degenerate diffusion process over short time period dt has order of magnitude  $\sqrt{dt}$ . Within the "standard" (not using non-standard analysis) framework of game-theoretic probability, this study was initiated in [9]. In our definitions, however, we will be following [10], which also establishes some other randomness-type properties of continuous price processes. The words such as "positive", "negative", "before", and "after" will be understood in the wide sense of  $\geq$  or  $\leq$ , respectively; when necessary, we will add the qualifier "strictly".

## 2 Null and almost sure events

We consider a perfect-information game between two players, Reality (a financial market) and Sceptic (a speculator), acting over the time interval [0, T], where T is a positive constant fixed throughout. First Sceptic chooses his trading strategy and then Reality chooses a continuous function  $\omega : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$  (the price process of a security).

Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all continuous functions  $\omega : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is defined to be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that makes all functions  $\omega \mapsto \omega(s)$ ,  $s \in [0, t]$ , measurable. A process S is a family of functions  $S_t : \Omega \to [-\infty, \infty]$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , each  $S_t$  being  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable (we drop the adjective "adapted"). An event is an element of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . Stopping times  $\tau : \Omega \to [0, T] \cup \{\infty\}$ w.r. to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  and the corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  are defined as usual;  $\omega(\tau(\omega))$  and  $S_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega)$  will be simplified to  $\omega(\tau)$  and  $S_{\tau}(\omega)$ , respectively (occasionally, the argument  $\omega$  will be omitted in other cases as well).

The class of allowed strategies for Sceptic is defined in two steps. An *elementary trading strategy* G consists of an increasing sequence of stopping times  $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \cdots$  and, for each  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , a bounded  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ -measurable function  $h_n$ . It is required that, for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ , only finitely many of  $\tau_n(\omega)$  should be finite. To such G and an *initial capital*  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  corresponds the *elementary capital process* 

$$\mathcal{K}_t^{G,c}(\omega) := c + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(\omega) \big( \omega(\tau_{n+1} \wedge t) - \omega(\tau_n \wedge t) \big), \quad t \in [0,T]$$

(with the zero terms in the sum ignored); the value  $h_n(\omega)$  will be called the *port-folio* chosen at time  $\tau_n$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_t^{G,c}(\omega)$  will sometimes be referred to as Sceptic's capital at time t.

A positive capital process is any process S that can be represented in the form

$$S_t(\omega) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_t^{G_n, c_n}(\omega), \tag{1}$$

where the elementary capital processes  $\mathcal{K}_{t}^{G_{n},c_{n}}(\omega)$  are required to be positive, for all t and  $\omega$ , and the positive series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}$  is required to converge. The sum (1) is always positive but allowed to take value  $\infty$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_{0}^{G_{n},c_{n}}(\omega) = c_{n}$ does not depend on  $\omega$ ,  $S_{0}(\omega)$  also does not depend on  $\omega$  and will sometimes be abbreviated to  $S_{0}$ .

The upper probability of a set  $E \subseteq \Omega$  is defined as

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}}(E) := \inf \{ S_0 \mid \forall \omega \in \Omega : S_T(\omega) \ge \mathbb{I}_E(\omega) \},\$$

where S ranges over the positive capital processes and  $\mathbb{I}_E$  stands for the indicator of E.

We say that  $E \subseteq \Omega$  is *null* if  $\overline{\mathbb{P}}(E) = 0$ . A property of  $\omega \in \Omega$  will be said to hold *almost surely* (a.s.), or for *almost all*  $\omega$ , if the set of  $\omega$  where it fails is null.

Upper probability is countably (and finitely) subadditive:

**Lemma 1.** For any sequence of subsets  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  of  $\Omega$ ,

$$\overline{\mathbb{P}}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbb{P}}(E_n).$$

In particular, a countable union of null sets is null.

#### 3 Main result

For each  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , the strong *p*-variation of  $\omega \in \Omega$  is

$$\operatorname{var}_{p}(\omega) := \sup_{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\omega(t_{i}) - \omega(t_{i-1})|^{p}$$

where n ranges over all positive integers and  $\kappa$  over all subdivisions  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$  of the interval [0, T]. It is obvious that there exists a unique number  $\operatorname{vex}(\omega) \in [0, \infty]$ , called the *strong variation exponent* of  $\omega$ , such that  $\operatorname{var}_p(\omega)$  is finite when  $p > \operatorname{vex}(\omega)$  and infinite when  $p < \operatorname{vex}(\omega)$ ; notice that  $\operatorname{vex}(\omega) \notin (0, 1)$ .

The following is a game-theoretic counterpart of the well-known property of continuous semimartingales (Lepingle [5], Theorem 1 and Proposition 3; Lévy [6] in the case of Brownian motion).

**Theorem 1.** For almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$vex(\omega) = 2 \text{ or } \omega \text{ is constant.}$$

$$(2)$$

(Alternatively, (2) can be expressed as  $vex(\omega) \in \{0, 2\}$ .)

#### 4 Proof

The more difficult part of this proof  $(vex(\omega) \le 2 \text{ a.s.})$  will be modelled on the proof in [1], which is surprisingly game-theoretic in character. The proof of the easier part is modelled on [11]. (Notice, however, that our framework is very different from those of [1] and [11], which creates additional difficulties.) Without loss of generality we impose the restriction  $\omega(0) = 0$ .

#### **Proof that** $vex(\omega) \ge 2$ for non-constant $\omega$ a.s.

We need to show that the event  $vex(\omega) < 2$  &  $nc(\omega)$  is null, where  $nc(\omega)$ stands for " $\omega$  is not constant". By Lemma 1 it suffices to show that  $vex(\omega) < p$  &  $nc(\omega)$  is null for each  $p \in (0, 2)$ . Fix such a p. It suffices to show that  $var_p(\omega) < \infty$  &  $nc(\omega)$  is null and, therefore, it suffices to show that the event  $var_p(\omega) < C$  &  $nc(\omega)$  is null for each  $C \in (0, \infty)$ . Fix such a C. Finally, it suffices to show that the event

$$E_{p,C,A} := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega \ \middle| \ \operatorname{var}_p(\omega) < C \ \& \ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\omega(t)| > A \right\}$$

is null for each A > 0. Fix such an A.

Choose a small number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $A/\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\Gamma := \{k\delta \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the corresponding grid. Define a sequence of stopping times  $\tau_n$  inductively by

$$\tau_{n+1} := \inf \{ t > \tau_n \mid \omega(t) \in \Gamma \setminus \{\omega(\tau_n)\} \}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

with  $\tau_0 := 0$  and  $\inf \emptyset$  understood to be  $\infty$ . Set  $T_A := \inf\{t \mid |\omega(t)| = A\}$ , again with  $\inf \emptyset := \infty$ , and

$$h_n(\omega) := \begin{cases} 2\omega(\tau_n) & \text{if } \tau_n(\omega) < T \wedge T_A(\omega) \text{ and } n+1 < C/\delta^p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The elementary capital process corresponding to the elementary gambling strategy  $G := (\tau_n, h_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and initial capital  $c := \delta^{2-p}C$  will satisfy

$$\omega^{2}(\tau_{n+1}) - \omega^{2}(\tau_{n}) = 2\omega(\tau_{n}) \left(\omega(\tau_{n+1}) - \omega(\tau_{n})\right) + \left(\omega(\tau_{n+1}) - \omega(\tau_{n})\right)^{2} = \mathcal{K}^{G,c}_{\tau_{n+1}}(\omega) - \mathcal{K}^{G,c}_{\tau_{n}}(\omega) + \delta^{2}$$

provided  $\tau_{n+1}(\omega) \leq T \wedge T_A(\omega)$  and  $n+1 < C/\delta^p$ , and so satisfy

$$\omega^2(\tau_N) = \mathcal{K}^{G,c}_{\tau_N}(\omega) - \mathcal{K}^{G,c}_0 + N\delta^2 = \mathcal{K}^{G,c}_{\tau_N}(\omega) - \delta^{2-p}C + \delta^{2-p}N\delta^p \le \mathcal{K}^{G,c}_{\tau_N}(\omega)$$
(3)

provided  $\tau_N(\omega) \leq T \wedge T_A(\omega)$  and  $N < C/\delta^p$ . On the event  $E_{p,C,A}$  we have  $T_A(\omega) < T$  and  $N < C/\delta^p$  for the N defined by  $\tau_N = T_A$ . Therefore, on this event

$$A^{2} = \omega^{2}(T_{A}) \leq \mathcal{K}_{T_{A}}^{G,c}(\omega) = \mathcal{K}_{T}^{G,c}(\omega).$$

We can see that  $\mathcal{K}_t^{G,c}(\omega)$  increases from  $\delta^{2-p}C$ , which can be made arbitrarily small by making  $\delta$  small, to  $A^2$  over [0,T]; this shows that the event  $E_{p,C,A}$  is null.

The only remaining gap in our argument is that  $\mathcal{K}_t^{G,c}$  may become strictly negative strictly between some  $\tau_n < T \wedge T_A$  and  $\tau_{n+1}$  with  $n+1 < C/\delta^p$  (it will be positive at all  $\tau_N \in [0, T \wedge T_A]$  with  $N < C/\delta^p$ , as can be seen from (3)). We can, however, bound  $\mathcal{K}_t^{G,c}$  for  $\tau_n < t < \tau_{n+1}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}_{t}^{G,c}(\omega) = \mathcal{K}_{\tau_{n}}^{G,c}(\omega) + 2\omega(\tau_{n})\left(\omega(t) - \omega(\tau_{n})\right) \ge 2|\omega(\tau_{n})|\left(-\delta\right) \ge -2A\delta_{\tau_{n}}^{G,c}(\omega)$$

and so we can make the elementary capital process positive by adding the negligible amount  $2A\delta$  to Sceptic's initial capital.

#### **Proof that** $vex(\omega) \leq 2$ a.s.

We need to show that the event  $vex(\omega) > 2$  is null, i.e., that  $vex(\omega) > p$  is null for each p > 2. Fix such a p. It suffices to show that  $var_p(\omega) = \infty$  is null, and therefore, it suffices to show that event

$$E_{p,A} := \left\{ \omega \in \Omega \ \middle| \ \operatorname{var}_p(\omega) = \infty \& \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\omega(t)| < A \right\}$$

is null for each A > 0. Fix such an A.

The rest of the proof follows [1] closely. Let  $M_t(f, (a, b))$  be the number of upcrossings of the open interval (a, b) by a continuous function  $f \in \Omega$  during the time interval  $[0, t], t \in [0, T]$ . For each  $\delta > 0$  we also set

$$M_t(f,\delta) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_t(f, (k\delta, (k+1)\delta).$$

The strong *p*-variation  $\operatorname{var}_p(f, [0, t])$  of  $f \in \Omega$  over an interval  $[0, t], t \leq T$ , is defined as

$$\operatorname{var}_{p}(f, [0, t]) := \sup_{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(t_{i}) - f(t_{i-1})|^{p}$$

where n ranges over all positive integers and  $\kappa$  over all subdivisions  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = t$  of the interval [0, t] (so that  $\operatorname{var}_p(f) = \operatorname{var}_p(f, [0, T])$ ). The following key lemma is proved in [1] (Lemma 1; in fact, this lemma only requires p > 1).

**Lemma 2.** For all  $f \in \Omega$ , t > 0, and  $q \in [1, p)$ ,

$$\operatorname{var}_{p}(f, [0, t]) \leq \frac{2^{p+q+1}}{1 - 2^{q-p}} \left( 2c_{q,\lambda,t}(f) + 1 \right) \lambda^{p}$$

where

$$\lambda \ge \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |f(s) - f(0)|$$

and

$$c_{q,\lambda,t}(f) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-kq} M_t(f, \lambda 2^{-k}).$$

Another key ingredient of the proof is the following game-theoretic version of Doob's upcrossings inequality:

**Lemma 3.** Let c < a < b be real numbers. For each elementary capital process  $S \ge c$  there exists a positive elementary capital process  $S^*$  that starts from  $S_0^* = a - c$  and satisfies, for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$S_t^*(\omega) \ge (b-a)M_t(S(\omega), (a, b)),$$

where  $S(\omega)$  stands for the sample path  $t \mapsto S_t(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* The following standard argument is easy to formalize. Let G be an elementary gambling strategy leading to S (when started with initial capital  $S_0$ ). An elementary gambling strategy  $G^*$  leading to  $S^*$  (with initial capital a - c) can be defined as follows. When S first hits  $a, G^*$  starts mimicking G until S hits b, at which point  $G^*$  chooses portfolio 0; after S hits  $a, G^*$  mimics G until S hits b, at which point  $G^*$  chooses portfolio 0; etc. Since  $S \ge c, S^*$  will be positive.

Now we are ready to finish the proof of the theorem. Let  $T_A := \inf\{t \mid \omega(t) = A\}$  be the hitting time for A (with  $T_A := T$  if A is not hit). By Lemma 3, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $i \in \{-2^k + 1, \ldots, 2^k\}$  there exists a positive elementary capital process  $S^{k,i}$  that starts from  $A + (i-1)A2^{-k}$  and satisfies

$$S_{T_A}^{k,i} \ge A2^{-k} M_{T_A} \left( \omega, \left( (i-1)A2^{-k}, iA2^{-k} \right) \right)$$

Summing  $2^{-kq}S^{k,i}/A2^{-k}$  over  $i \in \{-2^k + 1, \ldots, 2^k\}$ , we obtain a positive elementary capital process  $S^k$  such that

$$S_0^k = 2^{-kq} \sum_{i=-2^k+1}^{2^k} \frac{A + (i-1)A2^{-k}}{A2^{-k}} \le 2^{-kq} 2^{2k+1}$$

and

$$S_{T_A}^k \ge 2^{-kq} M_{T_A}(\omega, A2^{-k})$$

Next, assuming  $q \in (2, p)$  and summing over  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain a positive capital process S such that

$$S_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kq} 2^{2k+1} = \frac{2^{3-q}}{1-2^{2-q}}$$
 and  $S_{T_A} \ge c_{q,A,T_A}(\omega).$ 

On the event  $E_{p,A}$  we have  $T_A = T$  and so, by Lemma 2,  $c_{q,A,T_A}(\omega) = \infty$ . This shows that  $S_T = \infty$  on  $E_{p,A}$  and completes the proof.

## 5 Conclusion

Theorem 1 says that, almost surely,

$$\operatorname{var}_{p}(\omega) \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{if } p > 2 \\ = \infty & \text{if } p < 2 \text{ and } \omega \text{ is not constant.} \end{cases}$$

The situation for p = 2 remains unclear. It would be very interesting to find the upper probability of the event {var<sub>2</sub>( $\omega$ ) <  $\infty$  and  $\omega$  is not constant}. (Lévy's [6] result shows that this event is null when  $\omega$  is the sample path of Brownian motion, while Lepingle [5] shows this for continuous, and some other, semi-martingales.)

#### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by EPSRC (grant EP/F002998/1), MRC (grant G0301107), and the Cyprus Research Promotion Foundation.

#### References

- Michel Bruneau. Sur la p-variation des surmartingales. Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg, 13:227-232, 1979. Available free of change at http://www.numdam.org.
- [2] Yasunori Horikoshi and Akimichi Takemura. Implications of contrarian and one-sided strategies for the fair-coin game. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, to appear, doi:10.1016/j.spa.2007.11.007.
- [3] Masayuki Kumon and Akimichi Takemura. On a simple strategy weakly forcing the strong law of large numbers in the bounded forecasting game. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, to appear.
- [4] Masayuki Kumon, Akimichi Takemura, and Kei Takeuchi. Game-theoretic versions of strong law of large numbers for unbounded variables. *Stochastics*, 79:449–468, 2007.
- [5] Dominique Lepingle. La variation d'ordre p des semi-martingales. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete, 36:295–316, 1976.
- [6] Paul Lévy. Le mouvement brownien plan. American Journal of Mathematics, 62:487–550, 1940.
- [7] Glenn Shafer and Vladimir Vovk. Probability and Finance: It's Only a Game! Wiley, New York, 2001.
- [8] Kei Takeuchi. 賭けの数理と金融工学 (Mathematics of Betting and Financial Engineering). Saiensusha, Tokyo, 2004.

- [9] Kei Takeuchi, Masayuki Kumon, and Akimichi Takemura. A new formulation of asset trading games in continuous time with essential forcing of variation exponent. Technical Report arXiv:0708.0275v1 [math.PR], arXiv.org e-Print archive, August 2007.
- [10] VladimirVovk. Continuous-time trading and emergence of randomness. The Game-Theoretic Probability and Finance project, http://probabili tyandfinance.com, Working Paper 24, December 2007.
- [11] Vladimir Vovk and Glenn Shafer. A game-theoretic explanation of the  $\sqrt{dt}$  effect. The Game-Theoretic Probability and Finance project, http://prob abilityandfinance.com, Working Paper 5, January 2003.