

CLARIFICATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS

Probability and Finance: It's Only a Game!

Glenn Shafer and Vladimir Vovk

Published in June 2001 by John Wiley & Sons, New York

July 20, 2016

This document lists some clarifications that the authors may add if the book has a second edition. Some inconsistencies and possible improvements are also noted.

p. 5, line 11 from bottom: In some contexts, it may be more appropriate to say that Forecaster's strategy is rejected. See p. 58 and §8.2.

p. 18, line 7 of first full paragraph: In addition to subsequences selected in advance, von Mises also considered subsequences selected in such a way that the inclusion of the n th trial depends on the outcomes of preceding trials but not on the outcome of the n th trial itself.

p. 49, first line: The phrase "classical probability theory" is used here in a rather wide sense.

p. 56, last two paragraphs: Perhaps it is not so helpful to emphasize that the hypothesis of the impossibility of a gambling system can be stated *before* one computes probabilities for events in the game. The important points are (1) the hypothesis is not a mathematical fact about the probabilities in the game, and (2) although it provides a way of interpreting small probabilities, it can be expressed without using the concept of probability.

p. 58: Dawid's ideas are also discussed on p. 8. The interpretation of a probability distribution as a strategy for Forecaster is discussed in more depth in §8.2.

p. 64, last full paragraph: The significance of forbidding or limiting Skeptic’s borrowing is also discussed on p. 17.

p. 76: A martingale version of Kolmogorov’s strong law of large numbers was established by Y. S. Chow in his paper in the *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **36**:552–558, 1965 (thanks to Bent Nielsen for this reference).

p. 117, first line of Convergence Check: “step” \mapsto “round”

p. 130, second line of (6.15): “ $\partial D \partial s$ ” \mapsto “ $\partial s \partial D$ ”

p. 134, line before (6.23), p. 139, second line of Approximation Theorem, p. 139, second line of Lemma 6.2, and p. 141, line 4: “increasing” here is meant in the wide sense of “nondecreasing” (we are not always consistent: e.g., line 5 from the bottom of p. 139 and line 4 of p. 141 have “nondecreasing”).

p. 134, Table 6.2: it would have been useful to say how large N should be for the approximate equalities to hold.

p. 160, last paragraph before Example 2: more accurately, the individual variables should not contribute too substantially to the total variance or should themselves be almost Gaussian.

p. 169, fourth full paragraph, last sentence: it would be more natural to allow τ to take value 0 as well.

p. 171, first line of footnote 3: “this mathematical observation” \mapsto “the picture”

pp. 173–175: For consistency with earlier notation, ω should be replaced with ξ throughout the subsection entitled **The Game-Theoretic Form of Lindeberg’s Theorem with Measurability**. There are two occurrences on p. 173 and ten on p. 174.

pp. 189–191: In the subsection entitled **Quantum Mechanics**, the eigenvalues are written as $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and sometimes as a_1, a_2, \dots . All the a should be changed to α . This involves 2 changes on p. 189, 4 changes on p. 190, and 5 changes on p. 191.

p. 202, lines 4 and 5 from bottom: “how to replace it with a more practical instrument.” \mapsto “how it might be replaced with a more ordinary derivative.”

p. 212, line 7: Perhaps a reference (such as [262], Volume 1, Corollary 25.6 on p. 61) should be provided concerning the continuity of sample paths.

p. 218, penultimate line: Other, hardly less important, motivations are that σ is not known in advance and the whole model (9.12) is empirically shaky.

p. 280, line 5; p. 281, line 5; p. 300, line 3 above Theorem 12.1: Remove “ $\inf_n S_n$ is positive and not infinitesimal,”.

p. 302, third line above the itemized list: calls and puts will definitely be too awkward if only one call or put is used in hedging: because of the volatility smile, the implied price $D(t)$ (and so the implied price of $U(S(T))$) as well) will depend on the strike, which means that the hedging error will be high at least for some strikes.

p. 304, second line above the protocol: “prices” \mapsto “upper and lower prices”

p. 310, bottom line: Remove “ $\inf_n S_n^{(0)}$ is positive and not infinitesimal,”.

p. 324, penultimate and bottom lines: “If an investor intends to use outside information that he acquires after the game begins” \mapsto “If an investor intends to use outside information that he acquires after the game begins or, what is even more important (since outside information can be included in \mathbf{W}), plans to develop his strategy in the process of the game”

p. 327, second line after the protocol: this remark is applicable to any two instruments G and H , maybe both active.

p. 335, second line from bottom: this sense of “binding” (Reality will respect Forecaster’s probabilities on average) is only one of many implications of the fundamental interpretative hypothesis

p. 339, first two lines of the proof: our wording is sloppy here: since S is not given at this point, the assumption $\text{var}_S(2) < \infty$ does not make sense at this point. An analogous remark can be made about **p. 340, first line.**

p. 359, end of first paragraph: “can be used to model a sequence of horse races [61, 169].” \mapsto “can model horse races (Kelley 1956, Cover and Ordentlich 1996).”

p. 362, second line of the second paragraph of subsection “Deducing the Martingale Strong Law of Large Numbers”: “ (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) ” \mapsto “ $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ”; in **Equation (15.15)**, \mathbb{E} stands for the expectation w.r. to \mathbb{P} .

p. 364, second line from bottom: “trial” \mapsto “round”

p. 365, second line of the second full paragraph: “do better” \mapsto “do better (or do worse)”

p. 366, first line after Equation (15.21): the C introduced in this line is different from the C in (15.21); both are used afterwards, but it is always easy to tell which is which

p. 368, lines 3 and 4: these expressions for \mathcal{I}_n and \mathcal{K}_n assume zero interest rates, which imposes restrictions on the *numéraire* (it should be tradable, such as a bond or a market index).

pp. 367–371: For a deeper treatment of the relation between risk and return in the game-theoretic finance, see Game-Theoretic Probability Project Working Papers 1 and 2 (can be downloaded from <http://www.cs.rhul.ac.uk/~vovk/book/>).

p. 374, last paragraph: both “options markets” and “option markets” are used (see the first and penultimate lines)

p. 379, citation [60]: “Jean-Michel Courtault et al. Louis Bachelier: On the centenary of Théorie de la Speculation.” \mapsto “Jean-Michel Courtault, Yuri Kabanov, Bernard Bru, Pierre Crépel, Isabelle Lebon, and Arnaud Le Marchard. Louis Bachelier on the centenary of *Théorie de la Spéculation*.”

p. 380, citation [77]: “K. E. Dambis” \mapsto “Karl E. Dambis”

p. 395, citations [330] and [331]: The updated citations are

330. Vladimir G. Vovk. Probability theory for the Brier game. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 261:57–79, 2001.

331. Vladimir G. Vovk. Kolmogorov’s complexity conception of probability. In Vincent F. Hendricks, Stig Andur Pedersen, and Klaus Froyen Jørgensen, editors, *Probability Theory: Philosophy, Recent History and Relations to Science*, volume 297 of *Synthese Library*, pp. 51–69. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.

p. 396, citation [338], line 5: we could have spelled “Doebelin” more consistently on pp. 198, 396, and 407.

p. 403: After line 4 (log), add: “ln: logarithm to the base e , 37”

p. 405: After line 2 (σ -algebra), add: “ \sqrt{dt} -effect, 201, 205”

- p. 406:** “Britten-Jones, Mark” \mapsto “Britten-Jones, Mark (born 1963)”; “Calvet, Laurent” \mapsto “Calvet, Laurent (born 1969)”
- p. 407:** Merge the entry “Cournot’s bridge” with the subentry “Cournot’s bridge” of the entry “Cournot, Antoine Augustin (1801–1877)”
- p. 407:** Add “Cover, Thomas M. (born 1938), 359”. “Davis, Morton” \mapsto “Davis, Morton (born 1930)”; “Emanuel, David” \mapsto “Emanuel, David (born 1949)”
- p. 408:** The entry for Gnedenko can be extended to “Gnedenko, Boris V. (1912–1995)”.
- p. 409:** Add “Kelley Jr., John L. (1916–1999), 359”.
- p. 409:** Add subsubentry “fuzzy, 362” to the subentry “strong” of the entry “Law of large numbers”
- p. 410, entry for “Lyapunov”:** we usually spell Lyapunov’s first name as “Aleksandr” (transliteration from Russian).
- p. 410:** The first four entries in the second column should be made subentries under “Market”. “Mercurio, Fabio” \mapsto “Mercurio, Fabio (born 1966)”
- p. 410, entry for “Martin”:** it is awkward that the entry for “Martin” is so far after the entry for “Martin’s theorem”
- p. 411:** Add “Ordentlich, Erik, 359”.
- p. 412:** Under “Protocol”, there should be subentries for “coherent” and “terminating”. “Schwarz, Gideon” \mapsto “Schwarz, Gideon (born 1933)”
- p. 414:** The entry “Variation spectrum” should be merged with the corresponding subentry under “Variation”. “Walley, Peter” \mapsto “Walley, Peter (born 1953)”